

Clinical Supervisor Evaluation of Student

Student Name:

Site Name:

Instructions

This form is to be used to evaluate the above-named student that you have been supervising. Your feedback is important to us, so we appreciate receiving as much detail and specificity as you can provide pursuant to this student's clinical and professional strengths and weaknesses as a marriage and family therapist in training. Please complete this evaluation with the student, as they will be asked to sign off on this evaluation once you submit it.

Scoring Key

Excels - Student is well beyond other students at the same developmental level.

<u>Appropriate</u> - Student is right on target developmentally and you have every expectation that the student will continue to grow and develop in this area.

Adequate -

You believe clients may benefit from this level of performance by the student, but room for improvement is generous.)

Inadequate - You believe that clients' experience of therapy may be hampered by the student's lack of expertise in this area.

Inappropriate -Reflects your serious doubts that the student understands this concept and how it applies to therapy. Student needs serious consideration and further discussion of problems associated with this category.

<u>Unable to Assess</u> - You have an inability to properly address the issue based on lack of experience with the student in this area.

Criteria	Excels	Appropria	Ae lequate	Inadequa	le approp	Unable riateto Assess
1. Sensitivity to contextual dynamics						
	□ 5	□ 4	□3	□2	D 1	□0
2. Appropriate application of model						
	5	□ 4	□ 3	D 2	D 1	□0
3. Sensitivity to diversity						
	□ 5	□ 4	□3	D 2	D 1	D 0
4. Integration of information						
	□5	□ 4	□ 3	□2	D 1	□0
5. Ongoing personal education						
	□ 5	□ 4	□3	D 2	D 1	□0
6. Therapeutic alliance						
	□ 5	□ 4	□3	D 2	D 1	D 0
7. Session management						
	□ 5	□ 4	□3	□2	D 1	□0

8. Appropriate use of assessment tools						
10015	⊐ 5	□ 4	□ 3	□2	D 1	D 0
9. Ability to apply diagnostic criteria	□ 5	□ 4	□ 3	□ 2	□ 1	□ 0
10. Treatment planning	□ 5	□ 4	□ 3	□2	□ 1	□ 0
11. Session structuring	□ 5	□ 4	□ 3	□2	□ 1	□ 0
12. Relational interventions	□ 5	□ 4	□ 3	□2	□ 1	□ 0
13. Knowledge of Systems Theory	□ 5	□ 4	□ 3	□ 2	D 1	□ 0
14. Systemic case conceptualization	□ 5	□ 4		□ 2	D 1	□ 0
15. Attention to process and content	□ 5	4			D 1	
16. Systemic reframing	□ 5	□ 4			D 1	
17. Evaluation of efficacy	□ 5	0 4			D 1	
18. Record keeping	□ 5				D 1	
19. Risk management	□ 5	0 4			D 1	
20. Ethical behavior as defined by the applicable code(s) of ethics						
21. Adherence to site policies	□5	□ 4	□3	□ 2	D 1	□ 0
	□ 5	□ 4	□ 3	□ 2	D 1	D 0
22. Decision making	□ 5	□ 4	□ 3	□ 2	D 1	□0
23. Effective use of supervision	□ 5	□ 4	□ 3	□2	D 1	□ 0
24. Self-awareness	□ 5	□ 4	□ 3	□2	D 1	□ 0
25. Self-evaluation	□ 5	□ 4	□ 3	□ 2	□ 1	□ 0
26. Professionalism	□ 5	□ 4			D 1	□ 0
27. Integration of feedback	□ 5			□ 2	D 1	

Please provide a brief narrative about this student's clinical development during the time that you

have worked together. Please provide information about items rated "Excels" or "Inadequate/Inappropriate."

Thank you very much for taking the time to complete this evaluation.

Supervisor Signature: Date

_ __

Student Signature: Date

Faculty Signature: Date